

From: Ajith Singh < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 8:50 AM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus.

There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: alan Fanning < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 3:47 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,<BR><BR>I am opposed to the  
installation of new  
electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to  
oppose the project from proceeding  
any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.  
<BR><BR>Trashing the natural  
environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43  
healthy trees for these new  
digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards  
are bogus. There's no significant  
money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural  
environment, architectural integrity and  
specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital  
billboards will not improve the local  
economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for  
which there is no data or  
evidence. <BR><BR>By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose,  
the City may be opening the  
floodgates%

Alan Fanning  
San Jose  
Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or  
attachments from untrusted  
sources.

From: acalara  
Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2021 10:35 AM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,<BR><BR>I am opposed to the  
installation of new  
electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to  
oppose the project from proceeding  
any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.  
<BR><BR>Trashing the natural  
environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43  
healthy trees for these new  
digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards  
are bogus. There's no significant  
money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural  
environment, architectural integrity and  
specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital  
billboards will not improve the local  
economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for  
which there is no data or  
evidence. <BR><BR>By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose,  
the City may be opening the  
floodgates%

Allan Calara  
Resident of downtown

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or  
attachments from untrusted  
sources.

From: Amy Van Hook < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 5:01 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission 2;  
Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4; Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport  
Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport Commission 9; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Petersen, Adam; Jones, Chappie; City Clerk; Cohen, David; Davis,  
Dev; Carrasco, Magdalena;  
Mahan, Matt; Esparza, Maya; NoSJBillboards@gmail.com; Foley, Pam; Peralez,  
Raul; Liccardo, Sam;  
Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council, I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021. Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence. By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Ana Clark < >  
Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2021 6:31 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council, I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021. Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence. By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates. Plus look at the survey results more than 80% of the respondents don't want these atrocities.

Ana Clark

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: ANA VILLARREAL < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 6:18 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Annamarie Stoddard < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 9:30 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates for dozens of additional billboards and litigation, like what has happened in Los Angeles.

Thirty-six years ago, the City Council enacted a ban on new billboards. The ban was established based on the belief that beautification was the best way to encourage economic development, a concept the city's current leaders have been hell-bent to reverse. We should learn from our history, lest we be prone to repeat our past mistakes.

Thank you,

Annamarie Stoddard

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Anne-Marie Meredith < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 2:45 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates for dozens of additional billboards and litigation, like what has happened in Los Angeles.

Thirty-six years ago, the City Council enacted a ban on new billboards. The ban was established based on the belief that beautification was the best way to encourage economic development, a concept the city's current leaders have been hell-bent to reverse. We should learn from our history, lest we be prone to repeat our past mistakes.

Thank you.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Annette Aguilar < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 2:42 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission 2;  
Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4; Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport  
Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport Commission 9; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Petersen, Adam; Jones, Chappie; City Clerk; Cohen, David; Davis,  
Dev; Carrasco, Magdalena;  
Mahan, Matt; Esparza, Maya; NoSJBillboards@gmail.com; Foley, Pam; Peralez,  
Raul; Liccardo, Sam;  
Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council, I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021. Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence. By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

--

Annette Aguilar  
Realtor, BRE CA #01462439

Vickie Maggetti  
Realtor. BRE CA 01237085

"Servicing Clients as Partners,  
Meeting Their Moving Desires"

San Jose, CA 95125

©2018 Coldwell Banker Residential Brokerage. All Rights Reserved. Coldwell Banker Residential Brokerage fully supports the principles of the Fair Housing Act and the Equal Opportunity Act. Owned by a subsidiary of NRT LLC. Coldwell Banker and the Coldwell Banker Logo are registered service marks owned by Coldwell Banker Real Estate LLC. CalRE #01908304

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: annezk < >  
Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2021 12:50 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

Sent on my Virgin Mobile Phone.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Bart Narter < >  
Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2021 8:53 AM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSanJoseBillboards  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates to all sorts of dangerous distractions on our freeways.

Sincerely,

Bart Narter  
San Jose, CA 95112

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Betty Prince < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 8:42 AM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Bill at Home < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 4:16 PM  
To: Bill at Home  
Subject: How clear does it need to be? NO BILLBOARDS!

[External Email]

As a multi-decade resident of San Jose, I'm asking that you avoid the blight other cities sign up for and can never stop.

DO NOT ALLOW BILLBOARDS ON OUR STREETS OR HIGHWAYS!

In spite of any promised revenue, I know of literally not a single resident that wants these.

Sincerely,  
Bill Herndon  
SJ

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Bob < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 10:21 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Brian Haberly < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 2:49 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSanJoseBillboards  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am STRONGLY opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable.

Nearly 60 foot wide electronic billboards will distract drivers and lead to less safe driving conditions. How is that in the Community's best interest?

The arguments for digital billboards are false. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates.

These billboards are OPPOSED by the majority of our area residents. Please vote NO on this proposal.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Brittany Wolak < >  
Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2021 2:01 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission 2;  
Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4; Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport  
Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport Commission 9; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Petersen, Adam; Jones, Chappie; City Clerk; Cohen, David; Davis,  
Dev; Carrasco, Magdalena;  
Mahan, Matt; Esparza, Maya; NoSJBillboards@gmail.com; Foley, Pam; Peralez,  
Raul; Liccardo, Sam;  
Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates for dozens of additional billboards and litigation, like what has happened in Los Angeles.

Thirty-six years ago, the City Council enacted a ban on new billboards. The ban was established based on the belief that beautification was the best way to encourage economic development, a concept the city's current leaders have been hell-bent to reverse. We should learn from our history, lest we be prone to repeat our past mistakes.

Thank you,

Brittany Wolak

.

Homeowner

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Bruce T. Akizuki < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 8:13 AM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Bruce DeVisser < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 3:10 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City will be opening the way for digital signage blight in our city.

Bruce DeVisser  
San Jose, CA 95126

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Bruce DeVisser < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 3:17 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: NO on Digital Billboards

You don't often get email from brucedevisser75@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City will be clearing the way for dozens of additional billboards and litigation, like what has happened in Los Angeles.

Thirty-six years ago, the City Council enacted a ban on new billboards. The ban was established based on the belief that beautification was the best way to encourage economic

development, a concept the city's current leaders have been hell-bent to reverse. Please accept the results of the City's own survey clearly showing strong opposition to this project, and stop this activity now.

We should learn from our history, lest we be prone to repeat our past mistakes.

Thank you.

Bruce DeVisser  
San Jose, CA 95126

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Caroline L < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 3:59 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission 2;  
Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4; Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport  
Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport Commission 9; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Petersen, Adam; Jones, Chappie; City Clerk; Cohen, David; Davis,  
Dev; Carrasco, Magdalena;  
Mahan, Matt; Esparza, Maya; NoSJBillboards@gmail.com; Foley, Pam; Peralez,  
Raul; Liccardo, Sam;  
Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council, I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021. Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence. By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

--

Your value doesn't decrease,  
based on someone's inability  
to see your worth!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Carolus Boekema < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 3:22 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com; carolus.boekema@sjsu.edu  
Subject: Opposing the electronic billboards proposal on your airport  
property! & future SJ council  
elections!

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

Am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021. Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen.

The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence. By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be going downhill as in lacking a downtown hospital ...

To SJ City Council: even more urgent is to resolve the fact that downtown San Jose has no Hospital!

With all the influx (think google village & high towers) people especially elderly! can get stuck in traffic & die on their way to a far away hospital due to a heart attack.

If you do your calculations right, the chance that one dies from covid-19 in Sj downtown is roughly the same as dying from a heart attack in downtown SJ!

To NoSJBillBoards:

It would be much better to focus now on responsible candidates for SJ Council elections.

Best. ~ Carolus Boekema

CBoekema  
CoDH Steering San Jose

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Cathy Rubin < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 6:14 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,<BR><BR>I am opposed to the  
installation of new  
electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to  
oppose the project from proceeding  
any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.  
<BR><BR>Trashing the natural  
environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43  
healthy trees for these new  
digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards  
are bogus. There's no significant  
money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural  
environment, architectural integrity and  
specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital  
billboards will not improve the local  
economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for  
which there is no data or  
evidence. <BR><BR>By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose,  
the City may be opening the  
floodgates%

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or  
attachments from untrusted  
sources.

From: Charlotte Quinn < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 2:32 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: Oppose electronic billboards proposal!

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards at all, including on airport property. I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are weak. There's no significant money in it for the City, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity, and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence. They will increase light pollution, traffic collisions, and energy use. They are eyesores and unwanted.

Please oppose all digital billboards.

Thanks,  
Charlotte

Charlotte Quinn  
LEEDv4 AP BD+C, ICC# 8842130

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Chris Mildebrandt < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 8:24 AM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates for dozens of additional billboards and litigation, like what has happened in Los Angeles.

Thirty-six years ago, the City Council enacted a ban on new billboards. The ban was established based on the belief that beautification was the best way to encourage economic development, a concept the city's current leaders have been hell-bent to reverse. We should learn from our history, lest we be prone to repeat our past mistakes.

Thank you,

Chris Mildebrandt  
San Jose, CA 95112

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Christopher Kurose < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 11:15 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I STRONGLY oppose the electronic billboards proposal on  
airport property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am vehemently opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards  
on airport property, or  
anywhere in San Jose for that matter, and I urge you to vote to oppose the  
project from proceeding  
any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.  
Don't trash the valuable little natural environment of San Jose we have.  
For downtown residents  
the Guadalupe River trail is our only little slice of wilderness nearby.  
The razing of 43 healthy trees  
for these new digital billboards is unacceptable.

Whatever money this would raise for the city is not worth it - raise our  
taxes instead. It's not  
worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity, and  
the wildlife along the Guadalupe  
River.

I cannot express strongly enough how much I think digital billboards are a  
bad idea that will  
degrade the city and our quality of life.

Sincerely,  
Chris Kurose  
San Jose

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or  
attachments from untrusted  
sources.

From: christy noren < >  
Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2021 4:38 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport  
property, and I urge you to vote  
to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport  
Commissioner meeting on August 9th,  
2021.

Thank you for listening.  
Christy Noren  
San Jose resident

Sent from my iPhone. Stay safe.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or  
attachments from untrusted  
sources.

From: chuck alderete < >  
Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2021 1:34 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Connie F Springer < >  
Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2021 9:42 AM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am strongly opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

The arguments for digital billboards are not supported by credible data. I'm concerned about the environmental impact of these signs. I find energy consuming and e-waste generating signs inconsistent with the cities stated interest in addressing climate change. The financial benefit to the city is limited and the aesthetic cost is huge. Please don't allow our city to be blighted and drivers dangerously distracted by these digital billboards.

Respectfully yours,

Connie F. Springer  
San José. CA 95124

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Craig Yeutter < >  
Sent: Tuesday, August 3, 2021 4:51 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council, I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021. Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence. By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates.

Sincerely,  
Craig Yeutter

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Cynthia Batchelder < >  
Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2021 8:59 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia; Petersen,  
Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSanJoseBillboards  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Daniel Llamas < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 2:34 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: David Chai < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 2:51 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: Opposal to the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am strongly opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

We see advertisements constantly online, on television, and in the media. We are surrounded by ads. The last thing we need is to deface the city's natural beauty and purposely install billboards that serve no one else but the people who install them and the people who advertise on them.

Would you like a billboard installed in your neighborhood? If your answer is no, it's probably for many of the same reasons the majority of people who voiced their opinions about billboards in San Jose and I are opposed to having more installed in our city.

Let's spend resources on more public art, not more ads.

Please vote against this terrible idea.

David Chai  
Proud San Jose resident since 1980

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: David Martin < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 2:09 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission 2;  
Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4; Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport  
Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport Commission 9; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Petersen, Adam; Jones, Chappie; City Clerk; Cohen, David; Davis,  
Dev; Carrasco, Magdalena;  
Mahan, Matt; Esparza, Maya; NoSJBillboards@gmail.com; Foley, Pam; Peralez,  
Raul; Liccardo, Sam;  
Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council, I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021. Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence. By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

--  
David

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Deborah Kennedy < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 2:43 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates to more.

Please stop eroding San Jose's environment and community.  
Sincerely, Deborah Kennedy

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: deborah taylor < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 4:45 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Deborah Taylor < >  
Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2021 11:13 AM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Donna Davies < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 3:13 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSanJoseBillboards  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

Sincerely,  
Donna Davies

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Donna Dring < >  
Sent: Friday, August 6, 2021 11:07 AM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

Donna Dring  
Vendome Properties  
BRE # 00715595

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Elena Shur < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 4:34 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Pre-pandemic I was driving to and from my workplace in San Mateo and every electronic billboard I saw was an irritating distraction, which was actually hazardous at night, as it was too bright. I even developed an antipathy to the brands I saw on these billboards.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates to mindless commercialization of visual space, where natural beauty of Silicon Valley is replaced by the billboard architecture like in a farcical cartoon.

With kind regards,  
Elena Shur

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Elise di Donato < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 10:37 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Elizabeth Menkin < >  
Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2021 11:31 AM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates to more light pollution and distracting eyesores

Thanks,  
Elizabeth (Betty) Menkin

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Elizabeth Winslow < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 2:16 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

My husband and I can't trust the San Jose City Council b/c they reversed a law set up 36 years ago without citizen's voting for the change. I am angered & opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021. Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence. By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates!!!! What's the point in trusting laws enacted will be upheld and respected. You can be certain we will be voting differently in the future for elected officials who vote yes.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth Winslow  
San Jose

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Erik Aguas < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 2:10 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

Warmly,  
Erik

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Flora Moreno de Thompson < >  
Sent: Tuesday, August 3, 2021 3:55 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates for dozens of additional billboards and litigation, like what has happened in Los Angeles.

Thirty-six years ago, the City Council enacted a ban on new billboards. The ban was established based on the belief that beautification was the best way to encourage economic development, a concept the city's current leaders have been hell-bent to reverse. We should learn from our history, lest we be prone to repeat our past mistakes.

Thank you.

Flora Moreno de Thompson  
Hensley Historic District

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Freda Hofland < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 3:52 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Cohen, David; City Clerk  
Subject: Electronic billboards

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council:

I am very strongly opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property. I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of forty three healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence. Plus, they are a dangerous distraction to drivers making the roads even less safe.

Allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose may be opening the floodgates for dozens of additional billboards and litigation.

Thirty-six years ago, the City Council enacted a ban on new billboards. The ban was established based on the belief that beautification was the best way to encourage economic development, a concept the city's current leaders have been hell-bent to reverse.

Respectfully,

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Gina Spada < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 2:04 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,<BR><BR>I am opposed to the  
installation of new  
electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to  
oppose the project from proceeding  
any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.  
<BR><BR>Trashing the natural  
environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43  
healthy trees for these new  
digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards  
are bogus. There's no significant  
money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural  
environment, architectural integrity and  
specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital  
billboards will not improve the local  
economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for  
which there is no data or  
evidence. <BR><BR>By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose,  
the City may be opening the  
floodgates%

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or  
attachments from untrusted  
sources.

From: Gloie < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 6:05 AM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council, I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021. Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence. By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates.

Gloria Collins  
District 4  
Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Gwen Hacker < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 2:40 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,<BR><BR>I am opposed to the  
installation of new  
electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to  
oppose the project from proceeding  
any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.  
<BR><BR>Trashing the natural  
environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43  
healthy trees for these new  
digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards  
are bogus. There's no significant  
money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural  
environment, architectural integrity and  
specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital  
billboards will not improve the local  
economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for  
which there is no data or  
evidence. <BR><BR>By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose,  
the City may be opening the  
floodgates%

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or  
attachments from untrusted  
sources.

From: Hannelore McCrumb < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 4:13 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am strongly opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021. With most of the citizens opposed to the e-billboards, why are you not listening? It's SOO frustrating when sane arguments against the e-billboards as in the paragraph below are not only compelling, but make sense and should not only be considered, but adhered to.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates!

Thank you for listening!

Respectfully,  
Hannelore McCrumb

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Henni Kaufman < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 10:37 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

Henni Kaufman

Sent from my iPad

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Huang Jerrycans < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 10:14 AM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Ian Bruce < >  
Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2021 10:37 AM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates

Thank you,  
Ian Bruce

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Jan Fox < >  
Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2021 11:47 AM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission 2;  
Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4; Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport  
Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport Commission 9; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Petersen, Adam; Jones, Chappie; City Clerk; Cohen, David; Davis,  
Dev; Carrasco, Magdalena;  
Mahan, Matt; Esparza, Maya; NoSJBillboards@gmail.com; Foley, Pam; Peralez,  
Raul; Liccardo, Sam;  
Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council, I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021. Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence. By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Janet Berliner < >  
Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2021 8:45 AM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity, and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates for dozens of additional billboards and litigation, like what has happened in Los Angeles.

Thirty-six years ago, the City Council enacted a ban on new billboards. The ban was established based on the belief that beautification was the best way to encourage economic development, a concept the city's current leaders have been hell-bent to reverse. We should learn from our history, lest we be prone to repeat our past mistakes.

Thank you,  
Janet Berliner

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Janet Gillis < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 4:59 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council, I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021. Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence. By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates.  
Thank you,  
Janet Gillis

Sent from my iPad

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Janet Ryder < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 10:23 AM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

NO! NO! NO! THOSE BILLBOARDS DO NOT BELONG HERE.

This is not Las Vegas or Times Square and I never want it to be.

Keep San Jose a beautiful place remembered for rose gardens, clean highways and peaceful surroundings. The Valley of Heart's Delight does not deserve such a fate and neither does its citizens. Do not destroy the beauty we have worked hard to create in our city.

Janet Ryder

Berryessa resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: J C < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 2:08 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission 2;  
Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4; Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport  
Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport Commission 9; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Petersen, Adam; Jones, Chappie; City Clerk; Cohen, David; Davis,  
Dev; Carrasco, Magdalena;  
Mahan, Matt; Esparza, Maya; NoSJBillboards@gmail.com; Foley, Pam; Peralez,  
Raul; Liccardo, Sam;  
Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council, I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021. Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence. By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: bits < >  
Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2021 11:15 AM  
To: Kazmierczak, Matthew  
Subject: electronic billboards

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates for dozens of additional billboards and litigation, like what has happened in Los Angeles.

Thirty-six years ago, the City Council enacted a ban on new billboards. The ban was established based on the belief that beautification was the best way to encourage economic development, a concept the city's current leaders have been hell-bent to reverse. We should learn from our history, lest we be prone to repeat our past mistakes.

Thank you.  
Jean Schwalenberg

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Jean Struthers < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 3:58 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates% it will look like a blighted city to newly arrived visitors

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Jeanette Martin < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 2:12 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission 2;  
Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4; Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport  
Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport Commission 9; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Petersen, Adam; Jones, Chappie; City Clerk; Cohen, David; Davis,  
Dev; Carrasco, Magdalena;  
Mahan, Matt; Esparza, Maya; NoSJBillboards@gmail.com; Foley, Pam; Peralez,  
Raul; Liccardo, Sam;  
Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council, I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021. Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence. By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Jeff Levine < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 2:07 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: jelipsky < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 4:07 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

Rev. Joy-Ellen Lipsky. CCP. PMP, CCM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Jenni < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 3:00 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,<BR><BR>I am opposed to the  
installation of new  
electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to  
oppose the project from proceeding  
any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.  
<BR><BR>Trashing the natural  
environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43  
healthy trees for these new  
digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards  
are bogus. There's no significant  
money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural  
environment, architectural integrity and  
specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital  
billboards will not improve the local  
economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for  
which there is no data or  
evidence. <BR><BR>By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose,  
the City may be opening the  
floodgates%

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or  
attachments from untrusted  
sources.

From:  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 5:03 AM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission 2;  
Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4; Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport  
Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport Commission 9; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Petersen, Adam; Jones, Chappie; City Clerk; Cohen, David; Davis,  
Dev; Carrasco, Magdalena;  
Mahan, Matt; Esparza, Maya; NoSJBillboards@gmail.com; Foley, Pam; Peralez,  
Raul; Liccardo, Sam;  
Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council, I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021. Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence. By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates. These billboards also go against the community's wishes which were expressed in an extensive poll. If the airport is in need of such advertisement opportunities why not put them at the pick up and drop off crosswalk areas? This way the billboards are targeting all who use the airport and they are kept in the visual noise/clutter of the airport.  
Jesse Jackson  
San Jose, CA

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Josanna Lynn Marshalla < >  
Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2021 7:55 AM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Joseph Cellura < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 3:26 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

Joe Cellura, San Jose Ca 95110

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Joseph Gemignani < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 6:18 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,<BR><BR>I am opposed to the  
installation of new  
electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to  
oppose the project from proceeding  
any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.  
<BR><BR>Trashing the natural  
environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43  
healthy trees for these new  
digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards  
are bogus. There's no significant  
money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural  
environment, architectural integrity and  
specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital  
billboards will not improve the local  
economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for  
which there is no data or  
evidence. <BR><BR>By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose,  
the City may be opening the  
floodgates%

Joseph (amateur weatherman)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or  
attachments from untrusted  
sources.

From: Judith Lessow-Hurley < >  
Sent: Friday, August 6, 2021 10:27 AM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com; Tim Clauson  
Subject: Electronic Billboards

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am strongly opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project at the upcoming Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

The installation of electronic billboards will damage our natural environment, violate our architectural integrity, and do significant harm to the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not generate significant revenue and will not improve the local economy. This is a bad deal that will not contribute to making San Jose a vital, vibrant and successful urban environment.

Furthermore, these first digital billboards in San Jose may open the doors to dozens of additional billboards and litigation, similar to what has happened in Los Angeles.

Thirty-six years ago the City Council enacted a ban on new billboards. The ban was established based on the belief that beautification was the best way to encourage economic development, a concept the city's current leaders have been hell-bent to reverse. We should learn from our history, lest we be prone to repeat our past mistakes.

Thank you.

Judith Hurley  
Vendome Resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Judith Wells-Walberg < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 3:06 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSanJoseBillboards  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence. By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates for major problems.

PLEASE OPPOSE!!! Judith

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Karan M < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 2:13 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates for dozens of additional billboards and litigation, like what has happened in Los Angeles.

Thirty-six years ago, the City Council enacted a ban on new billboards. The ban was established based on the belief that beautification was the best way to encourage economic development, a concept the city's current leaders have been hell-bent to reverse. We should

learn from our history, lest we be prone to repeat our past mistakes.

Thank you.

-Karan Mody  
San Jose Resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Karina Nevarez < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 9:40 AM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport  
property, and I urge you to vote  
to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport  
Commissioner meeting on August 9th,  
2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen.  
The razing of 43 healthy  
trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for  
digital billboards are bogus.  
There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth  
sacrificing our natural environment,  
architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the  
Guadalupe River. Digital billboards  
will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-  
called "urban vibrancy" for  
which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be  
opening the floodgates.

Thank you for your consideration,

Karina

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or  
attachments from untrusted  
sources.

From: Kathy Richmond < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 2:20 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property. I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. Chopping 43 healthy trees for digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. They provide no significant money for the city, and is it worth sacrificing our energy resources, natural environment, and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They will not generate "urban vibrancy" whatever that is. allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City will open the floodgates for other garish drains of electricity and hideous blots on the scenic landscape of San Jose.

Kathy Richmond  
San Jose  
Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Keith Young < >  
Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2021 3:01 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Kelsey Rothrock < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 3:01 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport  
property, and I urge you to vote  
to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport  
Commissioner meeting on August 9th,  
2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen.  
The razing of 43 healthy  
trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for  
digital billboards are bogus.  
There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth  
sacrificing our natural environment,  
architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the  
Guadalupe River. Digital billboards  
will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-  
called "urban vibrancy" for  
which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be  
opening the floodgates%

Aloha,  
Kelsey Rothrock

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or  
attachments from untrusted  
sources.

From: Ken Schneebeli < >  
Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2021 8:04 AM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,<BR><BR>

Please, please, please.....

No electronic billboards!!!!

This is for many reasons, including more than the following:

1. By definition, they are unsafe. They are meant to be looked at to convey their message. This distracts from driving. This is compounded by being very bright to actually draw attention. These WILL cause an increase in accidents, by some amount. The city putting them up, is like the city endorsing looking at text messages while driving!!!!!!
2. They are electricity based. This is an unnecessary use of electricity, by the city! I recognize that this is a relatively small amount, but what message does this send to all of us?!!!! The brightness of these seem like a lot of electricity use - which conveys a message of "don't worry about electrical usage"
3. Having billboards is an unnecessary visual blockage/eyesore. There is NO aesthetic benefit for these. They are actually a detriment. During this time where we are dealing with too many detriments that the city does not effectively manage, this is just adding to reasons to NOT be in San Jose. (Discarded furniture and trash that lay in place for weeks downtown, and additionally the trash and garbage surrounding pop up homeless sites, are two examples of under managed conditions.

I have loved and contributed to San Jose. I moved from a home in a nice quiet suburb in San Jose in 1989, and still live near SJSU. These under managed conditions are motivating me to consider moving. Having the City consider putting up electronic billboards makes me shake my head in wonderment, and actually disbelief. The city claims "COVID!!!" and other reasons for under-managing pressing issues - but if what the do accomplishment is actually electronic billboards, then I am truly angry.

This is a TERRIBLE idea. Please, please don't do it.

Best regards,  
Ken.

I agree with the following, but did not write it.....5

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021. <BR><BR>Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence. <BR><BR>By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

Ken

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Ung, Kevin < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 3:26 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence. By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates for dozens of additional billboards and litigation, like what has happened in Los Angeles.

Thirty-six years ago, the City Council enacted a ban on new billboards. The ban was established based on the belief that beautification was the best way to encourage economic development, a concept the city's current leaders have been hell-bent to reverse. We should learn from our history, lest we be prone to repeat our past mistakes.

Thank you.

Kevin Ung

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Kim Karcher <>  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 2:41 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

Like numerous other city residents, I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, despite knowing the projected revenue for the city. Therefore, I ask you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Billboards in any form are blight. Digital billboards pose known threats to safe driving and wildlife. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is completely unacceptable, particularly when there is no requirement that 43 trees be replanted in San Jose.

Digital billboards will neither improve the local economy or generate so-called urban vibrancy, for which there is no conclusive data or evidence. No tourist will seek out San Jose because of its digital billboards. No resident will boast about the city's digital billboards. By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the city will reverse decades of sound urban planning that specifically addressed this issue.

Kim Karcher  
San Jose , CA 95125

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Kim McCaslin < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 4:23 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

Kim McCaslin

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Kris Franz < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 8:29 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,<BR><BR>I am opposed to the  
installation of new  
electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to  
oppose the project from proceeding  
any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.  
<BR><BR>Trashing the natural  
environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43  
healthy trees for these new  
digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards  
are bogus. There's no significant  
money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural  
environment, architectural integrity and  
specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital  
billboards will not improve the local  
economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for  
which there is no data or  
evidence. <BR><BR>By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose,  
the City may be opening the  
floodgates%

Kirsten Franz

Sent from my iPad

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or  
attachments from untrusted  
sources.

From: Krista Van Laan < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 11:54 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,  
I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport  
property or elsewhere in the city,  
and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further  
at the Airport Commissioner  
meeting on August 9th, 2021.  
I moved to San Jose 22 years ago, attracted by the juxtaposition of a  
modern city with historic  
neighborhoods and interesting old architecture. I liked the mix of the old  
and the new and what I saw as  
its charm and although I could have gone anywhere, I chose to stay in San  
Jose. In recent years, it  
seems the focus is on a kind of frenzied building at all costs, and  
without any thought given to beauty or  
cohesiveness. I am very concerned about the direction the City is going  
in.  
Digital billboards are ugly, distracting, and have a negative effect on  
their surroundings. Pushing for digital  
billboards when respondents have made it clear they are not welcome means  
that the powers-that-be  
don't care what the people want. I feel that allowing these hideous  
digital billboards anywhere in the city is  
a slap in the face to the majority of people who said we don't want them.  
The fact that trees will have to  
be destroyed to install them is just another insult.  
I urge you to consider the wishes of the people and vote against this  
project.  
Thank you,  
Krista Van Laan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or  
attachments from untrusted  
sources.

From:  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 4:52 PM  
To: Keyon, David <david.keyon@sanjoseca.gov>  
Subject: billboards

[External Email]

Hi,

I oppose ANY digital billboards in San Jose, especially if you are going to cut down trees to do it.

Thank you,  
Linda Reis

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Lisa Hettler-Smith < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 6:41 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I STRONGLY oppose the electronic billboards proposal on  
airport property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am absolutely opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021. The city's own survey shows that nearly 93% of the public strongly oppose this scheme as well.

There detriment to the city of this project is potentially enormous. Forget about the sunk cost, and drop the digital billboard plan now.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City will be opening the floodgates for dozens of additional billboards. Litigation is likely to follow, like what has happened in Los Angeles. Thirty-six years ago, the City Council enacted a ban on new billboards. The ban was established

based on the belief that beautification was the best way to encourage economic development, a concept the city's current leaders have been hell-bent to reverse.

Lisa Hettler-Smith  
San Jose, Ca. 95112-2136

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Louise Leprohon < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 4:31 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,<BR><BR>I am opposed to the  
installation of new  
electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to  
oppose the project from proceeding  
any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.  
<BR><BR>Trashing the natural  
environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43  
healthy trees for these new  
digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards  
are bogus. There's no significant  
money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural  
environment, architectural integrity and  
specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital  
billboards will not improve the local  
economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for  
which there is no data or  
evidence. <BR><BR>By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose,  
the City may be opening the  
floodgates%

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or  
attachments from untrusted  
sources.

From: Lucille Boone < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 4:26 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates for dozens of additional billboards and litigation, like what has happened in Los Angeles.

Thirty-six years ago, the City Council enacted a ban on new billboards. The ban was established based on the belief that beautification was the best way to encourage economic development, a concept the city's current leaders have been hell-bent to reverse. We should learn from our history, lest we be prone to repeat our past mistakes.

Thank you.

Lucille Boone

San Jose 95112

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Lydia Vitanza < >  
Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2021 3:37 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From:  
Sent: Tuesday, August 3, 2021 10:27 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council, I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021. Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence. By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

Please do not waste money on this. Use the money to fix roads, clean up the city and take homeless people off the streets.

Thank you, a concerned resident.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From:  
Sent: Friday, August 6, 2021 8:55 AM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates for dozens of additional billboards and litigation, like what has happened in Los Angeles.

Thirty-six years ago, the City Council enacted a ban on new billboards. The ban was established based on the belief that beautification was the best way to encourage economic development, a concept the city's current leaders have been hell-bent to reverse. We should learn from our history, lest we be prone to repeat our past mistakes.

Thank you.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: martin weidner < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 5:41 AM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,<BR><BR>I am opposed to the  
installation of new  
electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to  
oppose the project from proceeding  
any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.  
<BR><BR>Trashing the natural  
environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43  
healthy trees for these new  
digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards  
are bogus. There's no significant  
money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural  
environment, architectural integrity and  
specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital  
billboards will not improve the local  
economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for  
which there is no data or  
evidence. <BR><BR>By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose,  
the City may be opening the  
floodgates%

Sent from my iPad

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or  
attachments from untrusted  
sources.

From: mary tucker < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 11:05 AM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

I recently had to drive down to the Los Angeles area and was appalled at the number of DISTRACTING electronic billboards all over the highways. If that is what you want to happen here in San Jose, it will be devastating to the wildlife, destroy what little dark night we have to see the stars, and a strong potential for increased accidents.

According to a study conducted by the Caltrans Division of Research and Innovation, their study (2012) determined that findings from the literature support the argument that while there is no definitive research showing increased crashes due to the presence of billboards or digital billboards, there is an increased crash risk based on research on the effects of billboards on driver attention and the effects of driver distraction on safety: •

Billboards can have a significant effect on driver speed, lateral control, mental workload, ability to follow road signs, and eye movements and fixations, with older drivers particularly affected. (The Effects of Visual Clutter on Driving Performance and Driven to Distraction, An Evaluation of the Influence of Roadside Advertising on Road Safety, and Review of Roadside Advertising Signs). And visual clutter

generally can distract drivers (Driver Distraction by Advertising).

Distractions such as electronic billboards can heighten safety risks in heavy traffic and other complex driving conditions, a conclusion by Berkeley-based Jerry Wachtel, in his recent review of billboard distraction studies conducted everywhere from Denmark to South Dakota. Other types of signs without these problems are available to be installed.  
NOT  
ELECTRONIC BILLBOARDS...NONE!!!  
Mary Tucker  
Downtown San Jose resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Massimo Maniaci < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 2:27 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence. By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates.

A tax-paying resident,  
Massimo

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Melanie Puntanilla < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 9:25 AM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,<BR><BR>I am opposed to the  
installation of new  
electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to  
oppose the project from proceeding  
any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.  
<BR><BR>Trashing the natural  
environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43  
healthy trees for these new  
digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards  
are bogus. There's no significant  
money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural  
environment, architectural integrity and  
specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital  
billboards will not improve the local  
economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for  
which there is no data or  
evidence. <BR><BR>By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose,  
the City may be opening the  
floodgates%

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or  
attachments from untrusted  
sources.

From: Mele Kent < >  
Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2021 7:29 AM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Meline Joaris < >  
Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2021 11:33 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates for more billboards.

Please oppose the installation of these billboards.

Dr Meline Joaris, San Jose

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Michael Hazelton < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 4:02 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,<BR><BR>I am opposed to the  
installation of new  
electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to  
oppose the project from proceeding  
any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.  
<BR><BR>Trashing the natural  
environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43  
healthy trees for these new  
digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards  
are bogus. There's no significant  
money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural  
environment, architectural integrity and  
specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital  
billboards will not improve the local  
economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for  
which there is no data or  
evidence. <BR><BR>By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose,  
the City may be opening the  
floodgates%

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or  
attachments from untrusted  
sources.

From: Michael Kutilek < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 2:56 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

As a professional wildlife biologist and concerned citizen, I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates in the future.

Sincerely,

Michael Kutilek, Ph.D.  
Professor Emeritus, SJSU

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Miss Laura < >  
Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2021 7:50 AM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council, I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021. Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence. By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Mona Onstead < >  
Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2021 2:16 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: bits < >  
Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2021 11:04 AM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council, I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021. Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence. By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Nancy Bouch < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 6:01 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am strongly opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen.

The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable.

The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River.

Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence. By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates!

I was in opposition to the digital billboards with my neighbors in Hyde Park, when the idea was first introduced. It is a terrible idea, Please, Please, Please! Do not destroy our environment for a few silver coins!

Thank you.  
Nancy  
Sent from my iPad

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Nancy DeMattei < >  
Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2021 8:13 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,<BR><BR>I am opposed to the  
installation of new  
electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to  
oppose the project from proceeding  
any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.  
<BR><BR>Trashing the natural  
environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43  
healthy trees for these new  
digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards  
are bogus. There's no significant  
money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural  
environment, architectural integrity and  
specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital  
billboards will not improve the local  
economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for  
which there is no data or  
evidence. <BR><BR>By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose,  
the City may be opening the  
floodgates%

Sincerely,  
Nancy DeMattei  
Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or  
attachments from untrusted  
sources.

From: Olga Martynenko < >  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 7:41 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates%

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: P S <>  
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 3:13 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property and support the  
enforcement of the billboard ban

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

As a 15+ year resident of San Jose, adding environmental pollution to the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus and in direct conflict to constituent feedback. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

By allowing these first digital billboards in San Jose, the City may be opening the floodgates for dozens of additional billboards and litigation, like what has happened in Los Angeles.

Thirty-six years ago, the City Council enacted a ban on new billboards. The ban was established based on the belief that beautification was the best way to encourage economic development, a concept the

city's current leaders have been hell-bent to reverse even as residents and voters remind them. We should learn from our history, lest we be prone to repeat our past mistakes.

Thank you,

Pete

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Ashley Weiss < >  
Sent: Friday, August 6, 2021 3:54 PM  
To: Airport Commission 1; Airport Commission 2; Airport Commission 3;  
Airport Commission 4;  
Airport Commission 5; Airport Commission 6; Airport Commission 7; Airport  
Commission 8; Airport  
Commission 9; Airport Commission 10; Airport Commission CW; Kazmierczak,  
Matthew  
Cc: Liccardo, Sam; Jones, Chappie; Cohen, David; Davis, Dev; Carrasco,  
Magdalena; Mahan, Matt;  
Esparza, Maya; Foley, Pam; Peralez, Raul; Jimenez, Sergio; Arenas, Sylvia;  
Petersen, Adam; City Clerk;  
NoSJBillboards@gmail.com  
Subject: I oppose the electronic billboards proposal on airport  
property

[External Email]

Dear Airport Commissioners and City Council,

I am opposed to the installation of new electronic billboards on airport property, and I urge you to vote to oppose the project from proceeding any further at the Airport Commissioner meeting on August 9th, 2021.

Trashing the natural environment of San Jose cannot be allowed to happen. The razing of 43 healthy trees for these new digital billboards is unacceptable. The arguments for digital billboards are bogus. There's no significant money in it for the city, nor is it worth sacrificing our natural environment, architectural integrity and specifically the riparian wildlife along the Guadalupe River. Digital billboards will not improve the local economy. They certainly will not generate so-called "urban vibrancy" for which there is no data or evidence.

Please do not move forward with these billboards. Thank you,  
Ashley, SJ Resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.